

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

PAULA PARKS MCCLINTOCK,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 6:17-cv-00259-JAG
)	
CONTINUUM PRODUCER)	
SERVICES, L.L.C.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL
OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

This is a putative class action lawsuit brought by Class Representative, Paula Parks McClintock, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated (“Plaintiff”), against Continuum Producer Services, L.L.C., formerly known as Unimark L.L.C. (“Defendant”), for the alleged failure to pay statutory interest on payments made outside the time periods set forth in the Production Revenue Standards Act, 52 Okla. St. §570.1, *et seq.* (the “PRSA”) for oil and gas production proceeds from oil and gas wells in Oklahoma. On August 20, 2019, Plaintiff and Defendant executed a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (the “Settlement Agreement”) and Supplemental Agreements, finalizing the terms of the Settlement.¹

On November 22, 2019, the Court preliminarily approved the Settlement and issued an Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Certifying the Class for Settlement Purposes, Approving Form and Manner of Notice, and Setting Date for Final Fairness Hearing (Dkt. No. 42) (the “Preliminary Approval Order”). In the Preliminary Approval Order, the Court, *inter alia*:

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this Order shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement.

a. certified the Settlement Class for settlement purposes, finding all requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 have been satisfied, for settlement purposes only, with respect to the proposed Settlement Class;

b. appointed Plaintiff, Paula Parks McClintock, as Class Representative and Plaintiff's Counsel, Nix Patterson, LLP, Ryan Whaley Coldiron Jantzen Peters & Webber, PLLC, and Barnes & Lewis, LLP as Class Counsel; and Plaintiff's Counsel Whitten Burrage and Lawrence R. Murphy, Jr., P.C. as liaison local counsel for the Settlement Class;

c. preliminarily found: (i) the proposed Settlement resulted from extensive arm's-length negotiations; (ii) the proposed Settlement was agreed to only after Class Counsel had conducted legal research and discovery regarding the strengths and weaknesses of Class Representative's and the Settlement Class' claims; (iii) Class Representative and Class Counsel have concluded that the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate; and (iv) the proposed Settlement is sufficiently fair, reasonable, and adequate to warrant sending notice of the proposed Settlement to the Settlement Class;

d. preliminarily approved the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interest of the Settlement Class;

e. preliminarily approved the form and manner of the proposed Short Form Notice, Long Form Notice, and Summary Notice to be communicated to the Settlement Class, finding specifically that such Short Form Notice, Long Form Notice, and Summary Notice, among other information, fairly and adequately: (a) described the terms and effect of the Settlement among other information; (b) notified the Settlement Class of

the time and place of the Final Fairness Hearing; (c) described the options for requesting exclusion from the Settlement or objecting to the Settlement or any part thereof; and (d) directed potential Class Members to where they may obtain more detailed information about the Settlement;

f. instructed the Settlement Administrator to disseminate the approved Short Form Notice by mail to potential members of the Settlement Class, to publish the Summary Notice, and to display the Long Form Notice and other documents related to the Settlement on an Internet website in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and in the manner approved by the Court;

g. provided for the appointment of a Settlement Administrator;

h. set the date and time for the Final Fairness Hearing as February 12, 2020 at 9:00 A.M. in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma; and

i. set out the procedures and deadlines by which Class Members could properly request exclusion from the Settlement Class or object to the Settlement or any part thereof.

After the Court issued the Preliminary Approval Order, due and adequate notice by means of the Short Form Notice, Summary Notice, and Long Form Notice was given to the Settlement Class, notifying them of the Settlement and the upcoming Final Fairness Hearing. On January 17, 2020, the Court issued an Order referring the motions to the Honorable Kimberly E. West, United States Magistrate Judge, to preside over the Final Fairness Hearing and for a report and recommendation. On February 12, 2020, in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Notices, and the Court's Order of referral, Magistrate Judge West conducted a Final Fairness Hearing to, *inter alia*:

a. determine whether the Settlement should be approved by the Court as fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class;

b. determine whether the notice method utilized by the Settlement Administrator: (i) constituted the best practicable notice under the circumstances; (ii) constituted notice reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise Class Members of the pendency of the Litigation, the Settlement, their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement, their right to object to the Settlement or any part thereof, and their right to appear at the Final Fairness Hearing; (iii) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to such notice; and (iv) meets all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the state and federal Constitutions, and any other applicable law;

c. determine whether to approve the Allocation Methodology, the Initial Plan of Allocation, and distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to Participating Class Members;²

d. determine whether a Judgment should be entered pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, *inter alia*, dismissing the Litigation against Defendant with prejudice and extinguishing, releasing, and barring all Released Claims against all Released Parties in accordance with the Settlement Agreement;

e. determine whether the applications for Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees, reimbursement for Litigation Expenses, and Case Contribution Award to Class Representative are fair and reasonable and should be approved;³ and

² The Court will issue a separate order pertaining to the allocation and distribution of the Net Settlement Proceeds among Class Members (the "Plan of Allocation Order").

f. rule on such other matters as the Court deems appropriate.

Following the Final Fairness Hearing, Magistrate Judge West entered a Report and Recommendation, recommending that final approval of the Settlement be granted and finding that the parties' proposed orders accurately reflect the recommendations.

The Court, having reviewed the Settlement, the Settlement Agreement, and all related pleadings and filings, and having received the Report and Recommendation of Judge West who heard the evidence and argument presented at the Final Fairness Hearing, now accepts the recommendation of Judge West to enter this Order and Judgment Granting Final Approval and **FINDS, ORDERS, and ADJUDGES as follows:**

1. The Court, for purposes of this Order and Judgment (the "Judgment"), adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and incorporates them as if fully set forth herein.

2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Litigation and all matters relating to the Settlement, as well as personal jurisdiction over Defendant and Class Members.

3. The Settlement Class, which was certified in the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, is defined as:

All non-excluded persons or entities who received payments for proceeds for the sale of oil or gas production from Defendant (or Defendant's designee) for wells in the State of Oklahoma more than two (2) months after the end of the month within which the production was sold and whose payments did not include the full amount of the interest owed thereon.

The persons or entities excluded from the Settlement Class are: (1) agencies, departments, or instrumentalities of the United States of America or the State of Oklahoma; (2) publicly traded oil and gas companies and their affiliates; (3) persons or entities that Plaintiff's Counsel may be prohibited from representing under rule 1.7 of the Oklahoma Rules of Professional Conduct, including but not

³ The Court will issue separate orders pertaining to Plaintiff's Counsel's request for Attorneys' Fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses and Class Representative's request for a Case Contribution Award.

limited to Charles David Nutley, Danny George, Dan McClure, Kelly McClure Callant, and their relatives and any related trusts; and (4) officers of the court.

The Court finds that the above-defined Settlement Class has been properly certified for the purposes of this Settlement. The Court finds that the persons and entities identified in the attached Exhibit 1 have submitted timely and valid Requests for Exclusion and are hereby excluded from the foregoing Settlement Class, will not participate in or be bound by the Settlement, or any part thereof, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and will not be bound by or subject to the releases provided for in this Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

4. As used in this Judgment, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

a. **“Released Claims”** include all claims that the Releasing Parties may have against the Released Parties related to or arising from payments of proceeds from the sale of oil or gas to Class Members or any of their predecessors, successors, or assigns, during the Claim Period, by Defendant (or by any person or entity making payments on Defendant’s behalf) that were allegedly (i) late, untimely, or delayed; or (ii) did not include the proper amount of interest under the Production Revenue Standards Act, 52 O.S. §570.1, *et seq.* (the “PRSA”), or any other applicable statute or regulation. As used in this paragraph, “claims” include without limitation any assertion, allegation, claim, demand, right, debt, request for payment, cause of action, liability, loss, damage (including without limitation incidental, consequential, exemplary, or punitive damages), deficiency, remedy, judgment, lien, penalty, cost, expense, attorney fee, interest, suit, or proceeding of every kind, at law or in equity, regardless of whether any of the foregoing are asserted or unasserted, now known or hereinafter discovered, matured or unmatured, or accrued, contingent, or potential, and regardless of whether any of the foregoing have resulted now or could result in the future in the commencement of a lawsuit, filing of a claim, or a legal proceeding of whatsoever kind, and regardless of whether any of the foregoing have resulted now or could result in the future in a final and appealable order, ruling, or judgment. The Released Claims include without limitation all claims asserted, made, alleged, or described in the Petition, as well as any claims for any alternative legal theories that relate to such late, untimely, or delayed payments or interest by Defendant (or on its behalf) of oil and gas production proceeds. The Released Claims expressly do not include any claims other than those related to such late, untimely, or delayed payments or interest by Defendant (or on its behalf) and do not include claims for underpayment of royalty that are not based on late, untimely, or delayed payments or the improper amount of interest thereon.

b. **“Released Parties”** means Defendant (including under its previous name Unimark L.L.C.); its parents and affiliates; each of their respective predecessors, members, managers, owners, employees, officers, directors, stockholders, partners, agents, consultants, servants, attorneys, insurers, subsidiaries, assignors, and other

representatives, and all of the foregoing persons' and entities' heirs, successors and assigns.

c. **"Releasing Parties"** means Plaintiff and the Class Members, and each of their respective parents, affiliates, predecessors, members, managers, owners, employees, officers, directors, stockholders, partners, agents, consultants, servants, attorneys, insurers, subsidiaries, assignors, and other representatives, and all of the foregoing persons' and entities' heirs, successors and assigns.

d. **"Claim Period"** means the period of time prior to the entry of the Judgment in this Litigation.

5. At the Final Fairness Hearing on February 12, 2020, the Court fulfilled its duties to independently evaluate the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of, *inter alia*, the Settlement and the Notice of Settlement provided to the Settlement Class, considering not only the pleadings and arguments of Class Representative and Defendant and their respective counsel, but also the concerns of any objectors and the interests of all absent Class Members. In so doing, the Court considered arguments that could reasonably be made against, *inter alia*, approving the Settlement and the Notice of Settlement, even if such argument was not actually presented to the Court by pleading or oral argument.

6. The Court further finds that due and proper notice, by means of the Short Form Notice, Long Form Notice, and Summary Notice, was given to the Settlement Class in conformity with the Settlement Agreement and Preliminary Approval Order. The form, content, and method of communicating the Short Notice mailed to the Settlement Class, the Long Form Notice published on the website, and the Summary Notice published pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order: (a) constituted the best practicable notice under the circumstances; (b) constituted notice reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members of the pendency of the Litigation, the Settlement, their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement, their right to object to the Settlement or any part thereof, and

their right to appear at the Final Fairness Hearing; (c) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to such notice; and (d) met all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution, the Due Process protections of the State of Oklahoma, and any other applicable law. Therefore, the Court approves the form, manner, and content of the Short Notice, Long Form Notice, and Summary Notice used by the Parties. The Court further finds that all Class Members have been afforded a reasonable opportunity to request exclusion from the Settlement Class or object to the Litigation and Settlement.

7. The Court hereby affirms its determinations in the Preliminary Approval Order certifying, for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Litigation as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including that: the members of the Settlement Class are so numerous that joinder of all Class Members in the class action is impracticable; there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class that predominate over any individual questions; the claims of Class Representative are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; Class Representative and Plaintiff's Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Class Members; and, after considering the interests of the Class Members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions, the extent and nature of litigation already commenced by members of the Settlement Class, the desirability or undesirability of continuing the litigation of these claims in this forum, and the difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of a class action – a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. Pursuant to and in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the Settlement, including, without limitation, the consideration paid by Defendant, the covenants not to sue, the releases, and the

dismissal with prejudice of the Released Claims against the Released Parties as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, is finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. The Settlement Agreement was entered into between the Parties at arm's-length and in good faith after substantial negotiations free of collusion. The Settlement fairly reflects the complexity of the claims, the duration of the Litigation, the extent of discovery, and the balance between the benefits the Settlement provides to the Settlement Class and the risk, cost, and uncertainty associated with further litigation and trial. Serious questions of law and fact remain contested between experienced counsel and parties alert to defend their interests. The Settlement provides a means of gaining immediate valuable and reasonable compensation and forecloses the prospect of uncertain results after many more months or years of additional discovery and litigation. The considered judgment of the Parties, aided by experienced legal counsel, supports the Settlement. The Parties and the Settlement Administrator are hereby authorized and directed to comply with and to cause the consummation of the Settlement in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, and the Clerk of this Court is directed to enter and docket this Judgment in the Litigation.

8. By agreeing to settle the Litigation, Defendant does not admit, and instead specifically denies, that the Litigation could have otherwise been properly maintained as a contested class action (as opposed to a settlement class), and specifically denies any and all wrongdoing and liability to the Settlement Class, Class Representative, and Plaintiff's Counsel.

9. The Court finds that on August 29, 2019, Defendant caused notice of the Settlement to be served on the appropriate state official for each state in which a Class Member resides, and the appropriate federal official, as required by and in conformance with the form and content requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1715. *See* Dkt. No. 40. In connection therewith, the Court

has determined that, under 28 U.S.C. § 1715, the appropriate state official for each state in which a Class Member resides was and is the State Attorney General for each such state, and the appropriate federal official was and is the Attorney General of the United States. Further, the Court finds it was not feasible for Defendant to include on each such notice the names of each of the Class Members who reside in each state and the estimated proportionate share of each such Class Members to the entire Settlement as provided in 28 U.S.C. § 1715(b)(7)(A); therefore, each notice included a reasonable estimate of the number of Class Members residing in each state and the estimated proportionate share of the claims of such Class Members to the Settlement. No appropriate state or federal official has entered an appearance or filed an objection to the entry of final approval of the Settlement. Thus, the Court finds that all requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1715 have been met and complied with and, as a consequence, no Class Member may refuse to comply with or choose not to be bound by the Settlement and this Court's Orders in furtherance thereof, including this Judgment, under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1715.

10. The Litigation and the Petition and all claims included therein, as well as all Released Claims, are dismissed with prejudice as to the Released Parties. The Court orders that, upon the Effective Date, the Settlement Agreement shall be the exclusive remedy for any and all Released Claims of Participating Class Members. The Court finds that Defendant has agreed not to file a claim against Plaintiff or Plaintiff's Counsel based upon an assertion that the Litigation was brought by Plaintiff or Plaintiff's Counsel in bad faith or without a reasonable basis. Similarly, the Court finds that Plaintiff has agreed not to file a claim against Defendant or Defendant's Counsel based upon an assertion that the Litigation was defended by Defendant or Defendant's Counsel in bad faith or without a reasonable basis. The Releasing Parties are hereby

deemed to have finally, fully, and forever conclusively released, relinquished, and discharged all of the Released Claims against the Released Parties to the fullest extent permitted by law. The Court thus hereby permanently bars and enjoins the Releasing Parties, and each of them (regardless of whether or not any such person or party shares in the Net Settlement Fund), and all persons acting on their behalf from, directly or indirectly, or through others, suing, instigating, instituting, or asserting against the Released Parties any claims or actions on or concerning the Released Claims. The Released Parties are discharged and/or released from all claims for contribution that have been or may be brought by or on behalf of any persons relating to the Settlement of the Released Claims. The releases and prohibitions of this paragraph apply equally to any claim that relates to the subject matter of the Released Claims except as expressly excluded therefrom. The Court's approval of the Settlement and entry of judgment herein shall have the effect of barring each of the Releasing Parties from asserting any claim from which that party would be barred by a judgment resolving the certified claims herein had such claims been brought by such party individually. Neither Party will bear the other's Party's litigation costs, costs of court, or attorney's fees. The Court orders that Defendant shall be allowed to a refund of the Residual Unclaimed Funds pursuant to the procedures and at the time specified in the Settlement Agreement.

11. The Court also approves the efforts and activities of the Settlement Administrator, JND Legal Administration, in assisting with certain aspects of the administration of the Settlement, and directs them to continue to assist Class Representative in completing the administration and distribution of the Settlement in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, this Judgment, any Plan of Allocation approved by the Court, and the Court's other orders.

12. Nothing in this Judgment shall bar any action or claim by Class Representative or Defendant to enforce or effectuate the terms of the Settlement Agreement or this Judgment.

13. If it has not already occurred, the Settlement Administrator is directed to refund to Defendant the amount attributable to Opt-Outs in accordance with paragraph 6.6 of the Settlement Agreement, if applicable.

14. This Judgment, the Settlement, the Supplemental Agreements, and the Settlement Agreement—including any provisions contained in or exhibits attached to the Settlement Agreement; any negotiations, statements, or proceedings in connection therewith; or any action undertaken pursuant thereto—shall not be used for any purpose or admissible in any action or proceeding for any reason, other than an action to enforce the terms of this Judgment, the Supplemental Agreements, or the Settlement (including, but not limited to, defending or bringing an action based on the release provided for herein). The Judgment, the Settlement, the Supplemental Agreements, and the Settlement Agreement are not and shall not be deemed, described, or construed to be or offered or received as evidence of a presumption, concession, declaration, or admission by any person or entity of the truth of any allegation made in the Litigation; the validity or invalidity of any claim or defense that was, could have been, or might be asserted in the Litigation; the amount of damages, if any, that would have been recoverable in the Litigation; any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing of any person or entity in the Litigation; or whether any other lawsuit should be certified as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 or any applicable state rule of procedure.

15. As separately set forth in detail in the Court's Plan of Allocation Order(s), the Allocation Methodology, the Plan of Allocation, and distribution of the Net Settlement Fund among Participating Class Members are approved as fair, reasonable and adequate, and Class

Counsel and the Settlement Administrator are directed to administer the Settlement in accordance with the Plan of Allocation Order(s) entered by the Court.

16. The Court finds that Class Representative, Defendant, and their Counsel have complied with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as to all proceedings and filings in this Litigation. The Court further finds that Class Representative and Plaintiff's Counsel adequately represented the Settlement Class in entering into and implementing the Settlement.

17. Neither Defendant nor Defendant's Counsel has any liability or responsibility to Plaintiff, Plaintiff's Counsel, or the Settlement Class with respect to the Gross Settlement Fund or its administration, including but not limiting to any distributions made by the Settlement Administrator. Except as described in paragraph 6.20 of the Settlement Agreement, no Class Member shall have any claim against Plaintiff's Counsel, the Settlement Administrator, or any of their respective designees or agents based on the distributions made substantially in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, the Court's Plan of Allocation Order(s), or other orders of the Court.

18. Any Class Member who receives a Distribution Check that he/she/it is not legally entitled to receive is hereby ordered to either: (a) pay the appropriate portion(s) of the Distribution Check to the person(s) legally entitled to receive such portion(s), or (b) return the Distribution Check uncashed to the Settlement Administrator.

19. All matters regarding the administration of the Settlement Account and the taxation of funds in the Settlement Account or distributed from the Settlement Account shall be handled in accordance with Section 5 of the Settlement Agreement.

20. Any order approving or modifying any Plan of Allocation Order, the application by Class Counsel for an award of Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees or reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, or the request of Class Representative for a Case Contribution Award, shall be handled in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the documents referenced therein (to the extent the Settlement Agreement and documents referenced therein address such an order).

21. Plaintiff's Counsel, Plaintiff, and the Settlement Class will only be liable for loss of any portion of the Settlement Account as described in paragraph 6.20 of the Settlement Agreement. Defendant shall have no liability for any such loss.

22. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, the Court (along with any appellate court with power to review the Court's orders and rulings in the Litigation) reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the Litigation, Class Representative, the Settlement Class, Defendant, and the other Released Parties for the purposes of: (a) supervising and/or determining the fairness and reasonableness of the implementation, enforcement, construction, and interpretation of the Settlement, the Settlement Agreement, any Plan of Allocation Order entered by the Court, and this Judgment; (b) hearing and determining any application by Class Counsel for an award of Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees, and Litigation Expenses and/or a Case Contribution Award for Class Representative, if such determinations were not made at the Final Fairness Hearing; (c) supervising the distribution of funds from the Settlement Account; (d) resolving any dispute regarding a Party's right to terminate the Settlement pursuant to the Settlement Agreement or Supplemental Agreement 1; (e) enforcing the terms of the Settlement, including the entry of injunctive or other relief to enforce, implement, administer, construe and interpret the Settlement Agreement and Supplemental

Agreements; and (f) exercising jurisdiction over any challenge to the Settlement on any basis whatsoever.


23. In the event the Settlement is terminated as the result of a successful appeal of this Judgment or does not become Final and Non-Appealable in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement for any reason whatsoever, then this Judgment and all orders previously entered in connection with the Settlement shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Settlement Agreement. The provisions of the Settlement Agreement and Supplemental Agreements relating to termination of the Settlement Agreement shall be complied with, including the refund of amounts in the Settlement Account to Defendant and the refund by Plaintiff's Counsel into the Settlement Account of any amounts previously paid to them from the Settlement Account.

24. The claims asserted by Class Representative in this Litigation and all Released Claims of the Participating Class Members are hereby DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE to the refile of the same or any portion thereof by or against the Released Parties. The Court retains jurisdiction pursuant to paragraph 22 above to administer the Settlement distribution process as contemplated in the Court's separate Plan of Allocation Order(s), to administer other aspects of the Settlement as described in the Settlement Agreement, and to issue additional orders pertaining to, *inter alia*, Class Counsel's request for Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees and reimbursement of reasonable Litigation Expenses and Class Representative's request for a Case Contribution Award. Notwithstanding the Court's jurisdiction to issue additional orders in this Litigation, this Judgment fully disposes of all claims as to Defendant and is therefore a final appealable judgment. Regardless, there is no just reason to delay the finality of the Judgment.

The Court further hereby expressly directs the Clerk of the Court to file this Judgment as a final order and final judgment in this Litigation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 4th day of June, 2020.



/s/ John A. Gibney, Jr.
United States District Judge

JOHN A. GIBNEY, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

EXHIBIT 1



McClintock v. Continuum Producer Services, L.L.C.

Case No. 6:17-cv-00259-JAG

Requests for Exclusion Received

ID	Name	Date Received
916925	KAISER-FRANCIS MIDCONTINENT LP	12/23/2019
922654	KAISER-FRANCIS OIL COMPANY	12/23/2019
900472	PRESLEY PETROLEUM COMPANY	1/16/2020
922577	PRESLEY OPERATING LLC	1/16/2020
910164	CASEY D ALANI	1/27/2020